REMARKS

Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18, and 20-23 are currently pending The Examiner has finally in the patent application. rejected Claims 1-4, 6, 8-13, 15-16, 18, and 20-23 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by the Yu patent; and, has rejected Claims 5 and 14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu in view of Shteyn. For the reasons set below, Applicants believe that the claims are patentable over the cited art.

The present invention addresses the problem communicating service information, and more specifically, map service information, from a server to a user device having limited capability to display and interact with the Under the present invention, user input information. commands are sent to a command processing means which is independent of the user device and of the server having the The command processing means map service information. interprets the user input and sends the interpreted user Upon receipt of the input to the map service server. interpreted user input commands, the map service server modifies the map service information and sends the modified map service information correlated to the service mapping parameters to the user device. The user device can then -8display and interact with the received service map information, since the received service map information has been correlated to the input capabilities of the user device (see: the Specification at page 6, lines 15-22, etc.). The command processing means may access user data at a database, which user data may include service mapping parameters, user identifier, and type of user device. In addition, the user data may be modified by either the server or the user device. Applicants believe that the invention as claimed is neither taught nor suggested by the cited art.

Applicants have amended the language of the independent claims to expressly recite that the server performs the step of modifying the map service information in accordance with the user device capabilities and sends the modified map information to the user device. The amendment language finds support in the Specification at page 5, lines 7-8, page 8, lines 2-5 and page 8, line 28-page 9, line 1 wherein it is taught that the modifying is done at the server, which is independent of the command processing means/user input interpreter means.

The Yu patent is directed to a method and apparatus for displaying images on mobile devices wherein the user device sends its request for resource information to a link server (300 of Fig. 3). At the link server, user access and device JP920000293-US1

Jul 10 06 05:03p

parameter information is stored based on a subscription (Col. 6, lines 30-58). The link server sends the user request to the service/resource server. Once the link server obtains the requested resource information from the service/resource server, it preprocesses the retrieved resource information for the subscribing user using the stored device parameters (see: Col. 7, lines 11-20). As is expressly taught in Col. 8, lines 12-14, "[i]t must be pointed out, the received image...is not the Accordingly, the link originating from the resource". server of Yu retrieves information from a resource server based on the user request and then preprocesses (e.g., performs protocol conversion on) the information delivery to the user. Yu does not teach or suggest that the internet source of the image information modifies the stored image information for the user device. Rather, the link server performs that function.

Applicants contend that the claimed invention is not anticipated by the teachings of the Yu patent. Yu does not teach or suggest a server means or steps for providing map service information on the server for the user device including modifying the map information using service mapping parameters correlated for the input capabilities of the user device on the basis of the interpreted input JP920000293-US1 -10command transmitted to the server and then sending the modified map service information directly from the server to the user device. Since Yu does not teach or suggest the server modifying the stored information for use at the user device, Yu clearly cannot anticipate providing modified map service information correlated by the resource server based on that interpreted user input command.

It is well established under U.S. Patent law that anticipation under 35 USC 102 is established only when a single prior art reference discloses each and every element See: <u>In re Schreiber</u>, 128 F. 3d of a claimed invention. 1473, 1477, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431 (Fed. Cir. 1997); <u>In re</u> Paulsen, 30 F. 3d 1475, 1478-1479, 31 USPQ2d 1671, 1673 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Spada, 911 F. 2d 705, 708, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1657 (Fed. Cir. 1990) and RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Sys., Inc., 730 F. 2d 1440, 1444, 221 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Since the Yu patent does not teach steps for receiving a user command at a command or means processing means which is independent of the resource server and independent of the user device, does not teach the means command processing independent dynamically interpreting the user input command to generate not teach does input command; interpreted user transmitting an interpreted user input command to the resource server, and does not teach the resource server dynamically providing map service information including the server modifying map information using service mapping parameters correlated for the input capabilities of the user device and the server sending the modified map information directly to the user device, it cannot be concluded that the Yu patent anticipates the invention as claimed.

With regard to the obviousness rejections of Claims 5 and 14, Applicants rely on the discussion of the Yu patent presented above, and respectfully assert that the Shteyn patent does not provide those teachings which are missing from the Yu patent. Shteyn is cited for disclosing that a user can initiate a change in preferences or profiles that are stored in a remote database. Modifying Yu so that a user can change subscription information stored at the server would not result in the invention as claimed, since neither Yu nor Shteyn teaches or suggests the claimed steps and means for the server to dynamically modify map service information us service mapping parameters correlated for the input capabilities of the user device. Accordingly, Applicants conclude that the invention is neither anticipated nor obviated by the cited art.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments, withdrawal of the rejections, and allowance of the claims.

Respectfully submitted, Y. Chen, et al

By:

Anne Vachon (Dougherty)
Registration No. 30,374

Tel. (914) 962-5910